

MATH 113: Linear Algebra, Autumn 2018
Midterm exam - Monday October 29, 11:30 - 12:25

Problem 1. Find a basis of the following subspace of \mathbb{C}^4 :

$$U = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^4 : x_1 + 2x_2 = 0, x_3 + ix_4 = 0\}.$$

Solution: For any $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in U$, we have $x_1 = -2x_2$ and $x_3 = -ix_4$. So every solution is in the form $(-2x_2, x_2, -ix_4, x_4) = x_2(-2, 1, 0, 0) + x_4(0, 0, -i, 1)$. This means that U is spanned by the vectors $(-2, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, -i, 1)$. Also, these 2 vectors are linearly independent, because $\alpha(-2, 1, 0, 0) + \beta(0, 0, -i, 1) = 0$ clearly implies $\alpha = \beta = 0$. So $(-2, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, -i, 1)$ is a basis of U .

Problem 2. Suppose that $p_0(x), \dots, p_m(x)$ are polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R})$ such that $p_j(1) = 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq m$. Prove that $p_0(x), \dots, p_m(x)$ are not linearly independent.

Solution: Let $U = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R}) : p(1) = 0\}$. U is a subspace of $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R})$, because taking sums and scalar multiplies preserves the condition $p(1) = 0$. Also, U is not equal to the full space $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R})$, because not every polynomial satisfies $p(1) = 0$. So the dimension of U is strictly less than the dimension of $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R})$, which is $m + 1$. Hence $\dim(U) \leq m$ and we cannot have $m + 1$ linearly independent vectors in U .

Problem 3. Prove that if $x, y \in u + U$ for some vectors $x, y, u \in V$ and a subspace U of V , then $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in u + U$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$.

Solution: If $x, y \in u + U$, we can write $x = u + w$ and $y = u + z$ for some $w, z \in U$. Then $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y = \alpha(u + w) + (1 - \alpha)(u + z) = u + \alpha w + (1 - \alpha)z \in u + U$, because $\alpha w + (1 - \alpha)z \in U$ by U being closed under linear combinations.

Problem 4. Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that if $ST = I$ (the identity), then $TS = I$ as well.

Solution: We assume that $ST = I$. This implies that $TSTv = Tv$ for every $v \in V$. Equivalently, we can say $TSw = w$ for every $w \in \text{range}(T)$.

Finally, we claim that $\text{range}(T) = V$. If $\text{range}(T) \neq V$, then $\dim(\text{range}(T)) < \dim(V)$, and then $\dim(\text{range}(ST)) \leq \dim(\text{range}(T)) < \dim(V)$, because $\text{range}(ST)$ is the range of S as a linear map applied to $\text{range}(T)$ (and dimension cannot increase by applying a linear map). But $ST = I$ so $\text{range}(ST) = V$.

So it must be the case that $\text{range}(T) = V$ and $TSw = w$ for every $w \in V$.