

Pairing-friendly Hyperelliptic Curves and Weil Restriction

David Mandell Freeman¹
(joint work with Takakazu Satoh²)

¹CWI and Universiteit Leiden, Netherlands

²Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan

Fields/IRMACS Workshop on Discovery and
Experimentation in Number Theory
Toronto, Canada
23 September 2009

What is pairing-based cryptography?

- “Pairing-based cryptography” refers to protocols that use a nondegenerate, bilinear map

$$e : \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_T$$

between finite, cyclic groups.

- Need *discrete logarithm problem* (DLP) in $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2, \mathbb{G}_T$ to be infeasible.
- DLP: Given x, x^a , compute a .

Useful pairings: Abelian varieties over finite fields

- For certain abelian varieties A/\mathbb{F}_q , subgroups of $A(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of prime order r have the necessary properties.
- Pairings are *Weil pairing*

$$e_{\text{weil},r} : A[r] \times A[r] \rightarrow \mu_r \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^\times$$

or *Tate pairing* (similar).

- k is the *embedding degree* of A with respect to r .
 - Smallest integer such that $\mu_r \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^\times$ ($\Leftrightarrow q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$).
- If q^k, r are large, DLP is infeasible in $A[r]$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^\times$.
- If k is small, pairings can be computed efficiently (via Miller's algorithm).

The Problem

- Find prime (powers) q and abelian varieties A/\mathbb{F}_q having
 - 1 a subgroup of large prime order r , and
 - 2 prescribed (small) embedding degree k with respect to r .
 - In practice, want $r > 2^{160}$ and $k \leq 50$.
- We call such varieties “pairing-friendly.”
 - Random varieties very unlikely to be pairing-friendly.
- We consider the problem for *abelian surfaces*:
 - Find genus 2 curves whose Jacobians are pairing-friendly.

Why genus 2?

- Want to make q as small as possible for fixed r .
- For a g -dimensional Abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q , the ratio of full group order (in bits) to subgroup order r (in bits) is measured by

$$\rho(A) = \frac{\log_2 q^g}{\log_2 r}, \quad \text{i.e., } q = r^{\rho/g}.$$

- If ρ is small, crypto computations on abelian surfaces could be more efficient than on elliptic curves.

An alternative answer...

Genus 1 is solved*;
genus 3 is too hard†!

*pretty much
†usually

Some genus 2 constructions

- Product of a pairing-friendly elliptic curve E/\mathbb{F}_q with any E'/\mathbb{F}_q .
 - Minimum possible ρ -value is ≈ 2 .
- Genus 2 curves with supersingular Jacobian [G'01,RS'02]:
 - Can get $\rho \approx 1$, but embedding degree $k \leq 12$.

Best previous non-supersingular genus 2 result

- [KT'08]: Jacobian of

$$y^2 = x^5 + ax$$

over \mathbb{F}_p , $p \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{8}$.

- Best $\rho \approx 3$; in general $\rho \approx 4$.
- Construction works for a single $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -isomorphism class of curves.
- Construction is mysterious.

Our results

- 1 Explain why the [KT'08] construction works.
- 2 Generalize [KT'08] construction to other genus 2 curves.
- 3 Produce abelian surfaces with $\rho < 3$.
 - New record: $\rho \approx 2.2$.

Key property of KT curves

If Jacobian of $y^2 = x^5 + ax$ over \mathbb{F}_p is ordinary, then it is

- 1 Simple over \mathbb{F}_p ,
- 2 Isogenous over some extension \mathbb{F}_{p^d} to a product of **isomorphic** elliptic curves $E \times E$ **defined over** \mathbb{F}_p .

Theorem: Any abelian variety over \mathbb{F}_p with these properties is isogenous to a subvariety of the *Weil restriction* of E from \mathbb{F}_{p^d} to \mathbb{F}_p .

Key property of KT curves

If Jacobian of $y^2 = x^5 + ax$ over \mathbb{F}_p is ordinary, then it is

- 1 Simple over \mathbb{F}_p ,
- 2 Isogenous over some extension \mathbb{F}_{p^d} to a product of **isomorphic** elliptic curves $E \times E$ **defined over** \mathbb{F}_p .

Theorem: Any abelian variety over \mathbb{F}_p with these properties is isogenous to a subvariety of the **Weil restriction** of E from \mathbb{F}_{p^d} to \mathbb{F}_p .

What is Weil Restriction?

For L/K finite field ext., *Weil restriction* is a functor

$$\text{Res}_{L/K} : \{\text{varieties over } L\} \rightarrow \{\text{varieties over } K\}$$

with K -points of $\text{Res}_{L/K}(X)$ corresponding to L -points of X .

For an affine variety X :

- 1 Choose a K -basis $\{\alpha_i\}$ of L ;
- 2 Write each variable x_i over L as variables over K ;
- 3 Separate each equation defining X into $[L : K]$ equations defining $\text{Res}_{L/K}(X)$.
 - $\dim \text{Res}_{L/K}(X) = [L : K] \dim X$

Extend to projective and/or group varieties by gluing.

Decomposing the Weil restriction

- Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p , $\pi = \text{Frob}_p \in \text{End}(E)$.
- $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}) = \ker(\pi^d - 1)$.
- Since $x^d - 1 = \prod_{e|d} \Phi_e(x)$, there is a subgroup of $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ given by $\ker(\Phi_d(\pi))$.
- Points in this subgroup correspond to \mathbb{F}_p -points of a subvariety $V_d \subset \text{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}/\mathbb{F}_p}(E)$ of dimension $\varphi(d)$.
- We get a decomposition into *primitive subvarieties*

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}/\mathbb{F}_p}(E) \sim \bigoplus_{e|d} V_e(E).$$

- If E ordinary, then $V_d(E)$ is simple iff $\pi \notin \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$.

The situation at present

For A a simple abelian surface,

$$A \xrightarrow[\mathbb{F}_{p^d}]{\sim} E^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad A \xrightarrow[\mathbb{F}_p]{} \text{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}/\mathbb{F}_p}(E).$$

If $d = 3$ or 4 and $\pi \notin \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ then

$$A \xrightarrow[\mathbb{F}_p]{\sim} V_d(E) \subset \text{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}/\mathbb{F}_p}(E).$$

If $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ is pairing-friendly with d minimal,

(i.e., $r \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ and $r \mid p^k - 1$)

then $V_d(E)(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is pairing-friendly.

Problem: Given such an E , construct C with

$$\text{Jac}(C) \xrightarrow[\mathbb{F}_{p^d}]{\sim} E^2.$$

A generalization of KT curves

Let C/\mathbb{F}_p be a hyperelliptic curve given by

$$y^2 = x^5 + ax^3 + bx.$$

Over $\mathbb{F}_p(b^{1/8}, i)$, there are two maps from C to an elliptic curve E defined over $\mathbb{F}_p(\sqrt{b})$.

- $\Rightarrow \text{Jac}(C)$ is isogenous over $\mathbb{F}_p(b^{1/8}, i)$ to $E \times E$,

Theorem: Suppose $b \in (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2 \setminus (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^4$, E ordinary, $\pi_E \notin \mathbb{Q}(i)$. Then $\text{Jac}(C)$ is simple and isogenous over \mathbb{F}_p to $V_4(E)$.

- If $c = a/\sqrt{b}$, then $j(E) = \frac{2^6(3c-10)^3}{(c-2)(c+2)^2}$
- Given $j(E)$, we can find equation for C .

A generalization of KT curves

Let C/\mathbb{F}_p be a hyperelliptic curve given by

$$y^2 = x^5 + ax^3 + bx.$$

Over $\mathbb{F}_p(b^{1/8}, i)$, there are two maps from C to an elliptic curve E defined over $\mathbb{F}_p(\sqrt{b})$.

- $\Rightarrow \text{Jac}(C)$ is isogenous over $\mathbb{F}_p(b^{1/8}, i)$ to $E \times E$,

Theorem: Suppose $b \in (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2 \setminus (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^4$, E ordinary, $\pi_E \notin \mathbb{Q}(i)$. Then $\text{Jac}(C)$ is simple and isogenous over \mathbb{F}_p to $V_4(E)$.

- If $c = a/\sqrt{b}$, then $j(E) = \frac{2^6(3c-10)^3}{(c-2)(c+2)^2}$
- Given $j(E)$, we can find equation for C .

A second family of curves


Analogous results hold for the hyperelliptic curve C/\mathbb{F}_p given by

$$y^2 = x^6 + ax^3 + b.$$

If certain conditions hold, there is an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{F}_p such that $\text{Jac}(C)$ is simple and isogenous over \mathbb{F}_p to $V_3(E)$.

One final problem

- Recall: if $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ is pairing-friendly with d minimal, (i.e., $r \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ and $r \mid p^k - 1$) then $V_d(E)(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is pairing-friendly.
- Given such an E , with $d = 3$ or 4 , we can (often)* construct C such that $\text{Jac}(C) \sim V_d(E)$.
- **Question:** How to construct such an E ?
- **Answer:** adapt algorithm of Cocks-Pinch.
 - Input: quadratic imaginary field K , integers k and d .
 - Output: Frobenius element $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$, subgroup order r .
 - Use *CM method* to find $j(E)$ for E with Frobenius element π (requires K “small”).
- We can now construct a pairing-friendly genus 2 curve C !

*Assuming that the equation involving $j(E)$ has a solution in \mathbb{F}_p 

Best results

- Brezing-Weng modification of Cocks-Pinch algorithm:
 - 1 Parametrize Frobenius as $\pi(x) \in K[x]$ and subgroup order as $r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.
 - 2 Find x_0 with $\rho(x_0) = \pi(x_0)\bar{\pi}(x_0)$ and $r(x_0)$ both prime.
 - 3 Continue construction as before to find a pairing-friendly hyperelliptic curve $C/\mathbb{F}_{\rho(x_0)}$.

Best result: $k = 27$, $d = 3$, $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$,

$$\pi(x) = \frac{1}{2} (-x^{20} + x^{18} + ix^{11} + ix^9 + x^2 - 1),$$

$\rho \approx 2.2$ for large x_0

Best results

- Brezing-Weng modification of Cocks-Pinch algorithm:
 - 1 Parametrize Frobenius as $\pi(x) \in K[x]$ and subgroup order as $r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.
 - 2 Find x_0 with $\rho(x_0) = \pi(x_0)\bar{\pi}(x_0)$ and $r(x_0)$ both prime.
 - 3 Continue construction as before to find a pairing-friendly hyperelliptic curve $C/\mathbb{F}_{\rho(x_0)}$.

Best result: $k = 27$, $d = 3$, $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$,

$$\pi(x) = \frac{1}{2} (-x^{20} + x^{18} + ix^{11} + ix^9 + x^2 - 1),$$

$\rho \approx 2.2$ for large x_0

Extra roots of unity cause problems

- On inputs $d = 4$, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$, algorithm produces E/\mathbb{F}_p with $j(E) = 0$ and $V_4(E)$ pairing-friendly.
- Can always find C/\mathbb{F}_p with $\text{Jac}(C) \sim_{\mathbb{F}_p} E' \times E'$, $j(E') = 0$, and $\text{Jac}(C)$ simple (so $\text{Jac}(C) \sim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V_4(E')$).
- $\text{Frob}_p(E) = \alpha \cdot \text{Frob}_p(E')$ for some α with $\alpha^6 = 1$.
- **Good case:** if $\alpha = \pm 1$ then $\text{Jac}(C) \sim V_4(E') \sim V_4(E)$.
- **Bad case:** if $\alpha \neq \pm 1$ then $\text{Jac}(C) \sim V_4(E') \sim A$ for some 2-dimensional subvariety $A \subset V_{12}(E)$.

Experimental data

Heuristically, if parameters are “random” then we expect the good case $\alpha = \pm 1$ one third of the time.

- π not parametrized as a polynomial:
in 1000 trials, **323** curves fall into the good case.
- $\pi(x) = \frac{1}{6} ((\gamma - 3)x^3 - (\gamma + 3)x^2 - 2\gamma x + 2\gamma)$ [$\gamma = \sqrt{-3}$]:
in 1000 trials, **1000** curves fall into the good case.
- $\pi(x) = \frac{1}{12} ((\gamma - 1)x^2 + (-2\gamma + 6)x + (6\gamma - 6))$ [Kachisa]:
in 1000 trials, **0** curves fall into in the good case.

A pairing-friendly curve C produced from the last π would set a record: $\rho(\text{Jac}(C)) \approx 2$.

Experimental data

Heuristically, if parameters are “random” then we expect the good case $\alpha = \pm 1$ one third of the time.

- π not parametrized as a polynomial:
in 1000 trials, **323** curves fall into the good case.
- $\pi(x) = \frac{1}{6} ((\gamma - 3)x^3 - (\gamma + 3)x^2 - 2\gamma x + 2\gamma)$ [$\gamma = \sqrt{-3}$]:
in 1000 trials, **1000** curves fall into the good case.
- $\pi(x) = \frac{1}{12} ((\gamma - 1)x^2 + (-2\gamma + 6)x + (6\gamma - 6))$ [Kachisa]:
in 1000 trials, **0** curves fall into in the good case.

A pairing-friendly curve C produced from the last π would set a record: $\rho(\text{Jac}(C)) \approx 2$.

Some questions

- 1 Explain this experimental behavior.
- 2 If $\text{Jac}(C) \sim A \subset V_{12}(E)$, how do we find a curve C'/\mathbb{F}_p with $\text{Jac}(C') \sim V_4(E)$?

If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $y^2 = x^5 + ax^3 + bx$ splits over \mathbb{F}_p or maps to elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} — our method fails!

- 3 For E/\mathbb{F}_p produced from our algorithm, find C'/\mathbb{F}_p with $\text{Jac}(C') \sim V_4(E)$.

Answers?

Some questions

- 1 Explain this experimental behavior.
- 2 If $\text{Jac}(C) \sim A \subset V_{12}(E)$, how do we find a curve C'/\mathbb{F}_p with $\text{Jac}(C') \sim V_4(E)$?

If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $y^2 = x^5 + ax^3 + bx$ splits over \mathbb{F}_p or maps to elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} — our method fails!

- 3 For E/\mathbb{F}_p produced from our algorithm, find C'/\mathbb{F}_p with $\text{Jac}(C') \sim V_4(E)$.

Answers?

Some questions

- 1 Explain this experimental behavior.
- 2 If $\text{Jac}(C) \sim A \subset V_{12}(E)$, how do we find a curve C'/\mathbb{F}_p with $\text{Jac}(C') \sim V_4(E)$?

If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $y^2 = x^5 + ax^3 + bx$ splits over \mathbb{F}_p or maps to elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} — our method fails!

- 3 For E/\mathbb{F}_p produced from our algorithm, find C'/\mathbb{F}_p with $\text{Jac}(C') \sim V_4(E)$.

Answers?