## Perfect hashing.

We consider the following perfect hashing problem: Given a set $S$ of $n$ keys from a universe $U$, build a look-up table $T$ of size $O(n)$ such that a membership query (given $x \in U$, is $x \in S$ ) can be answered in constant time.

We show that a perfect hash table can be built in linear expected time. The idea is to build a two-level table (see Fig. 1). In the first level, a hash function $f$ partitions the set $S$ into $n$ subsets, denoted as buckets, $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}$. For a bucket $B_{i}$, we denote its size as $b_{i}=\left|B_{i}\right|$. In the second level, each bucket $B_{i}$ has a separate memory array whose size is $\Theta\left(b_{i}^{2}\right)$, and a separate hash function $g_{i}$ that maps the bucket injectively into that memory array. All the memory arrays are placed in a single table $T$, and for each bucket $B_{i}$ we maintain the offset $p_{i}$, which gives the position in $T$ where $B_{i}$ 's memory array begins.

A high level description of the algorithm is as follows:
Step 1 Find a function $f: U \rightarrow[1 . . n]$, that partitions $S$ into buckets $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} \leq \beta n$, where $\beta$ is a constant that will be determined later.

Step 2 For each bucket $B_{i}$, compute an offset $p_{i}=\sum_{j}^{i-1} \alpha b_{j}^{2}$, and allocate a subarray $M_{i}$ of size $\alpha b_{i}^{2}$ in array $T$ between positions $p_{i}+1$ and $p_{i+1}$ in $T$, where $\alpha$ is a constant that will be determined later.

Step 3 For each bucket $B_{i}$ find a function $g_{i}: u \rightarrow\left[1 . . \alpha b_{i}^{2}\right]$, such that $g_{i}$ is injective on $B_{i}$.
For every key $x \in B_{i}$, place $x$ in $T\left[p_{i}+g_{i}(x)\right]$.
In Step 1, the function $f$ is recorded. We use two additional arrays: $P[1 . . n]$ to record the offsets in Step 2, and $G[1 . . n]$ to record the functions $g_{i}$ in Step 3. The table $T$ is of size $\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} \leq \alpha \beta \cdot n$, and the total memory required by the data structure is therefore $O(n)$, as required. Given a key $x \in U$, a membership query for $x$ is supported in constant time as follows:

1. Compute $i=f(x)$.
2. Read $g_{i}$ from $G[i]$ and compute $j=g_{i}(x)$.
3. If $T[P[i]+j]=x$ then answer " $x \in S$ ", and otherwise answer " $x \notin S$ ".

## More details and analysis:

In our analysis we will use four basic facts from probability theory, and a property of universal hash functions:

1. Boole's inequality: For any sequence of events $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{m}, m \geq 1$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cdots \cup A_{m}\right) \leq \mathbf{P r}\left(A_{1}\right)+\mathbf{P r}\left(A_{2}\right)+\cdots+\mathbf{P r}\left(A_{m}\right) .
$$

2. Markov inequality: Let $X$ be a nonnegative random variable, and suppose that $\mathbf{E}(X)$ is well defined. Then for all $t>0, \operatorname{Pr}(X \geq t) \leq \mathbf{E}(X) / t$. Alternatively, for all $\tau>0$, $\operatorname{Pr}(X \geq \tau \mathbf{E}(X)) \leq 1 / \tau$.
3. Linearity of expectation: $\mathbf{E}(X+Y)=\mathbf{E}(X)+\mathbf{E}(Y)$; more generally, $\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left(X_{i}\right)$.
4. Expectation in geometric-like distribution: Suppose that we have a sequence of Bernoulli trials, each with a probability $\geq p$ of success and a probability $\leq 1-p$ of failure. Then the expected number of trials needed to obtain a success is at most $1 / p$.
5. Collisions in universal hash functions: If $h$ is chosen from a universal collection of hash functions and is used to hash $N$ keys into a table of size $B$, the expected number of collisions involving a particular key $x$ is $(N-1) / B$.

We can now provide more details on Step 1, which consists of the following sub-steps.
Step $1 a$ Select at random a function $f: U \rightarrow[1 . . n]$ from a universal class of hash functions.
Step $1 b$ Compute a hash-table $T^{\prime}$ with chaining using the hash function $f$, so that insertion takes constant time.

Step 1c Compute an array $B 2$, so that $B 2[i]=b_{i}^{2}$.
Step 1d If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}>\beta n$ then go to Step 1a; otherwise record the function $f$.

Analysis Step 1a takes constant time, Step 1b takes $O(n)$ time and $O(n)$ space, Step 1c takes $O(n)$ time using the table $T^{\prime}$, and Step 1d takes $O(n)$ time, using array $B 2$. The time complexity, $T_{1}$, of Step 1 is therefore $O(t n)$, where $t$ is the number of iterations, i.e., the number of functions $f$ selected before the condition $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} \leq \beta n$ is satisfied. The following claim shows that for $\beta \geq 4$ we have $\mathbf{E}\left(T_{1}\right)=O(n)$.

Claim: If $\beta \geq 4$ then $\mathbf{E}(t) \leq 2$.

Proof. Let $C_{x}$ be the number of collisions of a key $x \in S$ under $f$; i.e., the number of $y \in S$, $y \neq x$, for which $f(x)=f(y)$. Due to the collision property of universal hash functions (with $N=B=n$ ) we have $\mathbf{E}\left(C_{x}\right)<1$.

We consider the total number of collisions $C_{S}$ in $S$. Specifically, let $C_{S}$ be the number of (ordered) pairs $\langle x, y\rangle, x, y \in S$ and $x \neq y$, such that $f(x)=f(y)$. Clearly, $C_{S}=\sum_{x \in S} C_{x}$. Therefore, by linearity of expectation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left(C_{S}\right)=\sum_{x \in X} \mathbf{E}\left(C_{x}\right)<|S| \cdot 1=n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we note that collisions are defined among keys mapped into the same buckets, and can be counted as:

$$
\left.C_{S}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mid\{\langle x, y\rangle\}: x, y \in B_{i}, x \neq y\right\} \mid=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} \cdot\left(b_{i}-1\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} .
$$

Therefore, since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}=n$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}=C_{S}+n
$$

and by Eq (1)

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}\right)=\mathbf{E}\left(C_{S}\right)+n<2 n .
$$

By Markov Inequality, applied to the random variable $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} \geq 4 n\right) \leq 1 / 2 .
$$

If $\beta \geq 4$, then for a function $f$ selected at random the condition $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} \leq 4 n$ is satisfied with probability at least $1 / 2$. Therefore, the expected number, $t$, of functions $f$ tried before the condition is satisfied is at most 2 .

To compute Step 2, note that $p_{i}=p_{i-1}+\alpha b_{i-1}^{2}$ for $i>1$, and $p_{1}=0$. Therefore, $p_{i}$ can be computed and recorded in array $P$ by iterating for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Step 2 takes $T_{2}=O(n)$ time.

Finally, Step 3 consists of the following sub-steps, executed for all $i, i=1, \ldots, n$ :
Step $3 a$ Initialize the subarray $T[P[i]+1, \ldots, P[i+1]$ to nil.
Step $3 b$ Select at random a function $g_{i}: U \rightarrow\left[1 . . \alpha b_{i}^{2}\right]$ from a universal class of hash functions.
Step 3c For each $x \in B_{i}$, if $T\left[P[i]+g_{i}(x)\right]$ is not nil then go to Step 3 a ( $g_{i}$ is not injective on $B_{i}$ and a new $g_{i}$ is to be selected); else write $x$ into $T\left[P[i]+g_{i}(x)\right]$.

Step 3d Record $g_{i}$ in $G[i]$.

Analysis We analyze first Step 3 for bucket $B_{i}$. Step 3a takes time $O\left(b_{i}^{2}\right)$. Step 3b takes constant time. Step 3c can be implemented in $O\left(b_{i}\right)$ time, using the $i^{\prime}$ 'th list in the hash table $T^{\prime}$ computed in Step 1. Step 3d takes constant time. The time complexity of Step 3 for bucket $B_{i}$ is therefore $t_{i}=O\left(\tau_{i} b_{i}^{2}\right)$, where $\tau_{i}$ is the number of iterations, i.e., the number of functions $g_{i}$ selected before an injective function is found for $B_{i}$.
Comment: We could have each iteration take only $O\left(b_{i}\right)$ time by removing Step 3a, initializing the table $T$ in Step 2, and modify Step 3c as follows:

Step 3c' For each $x \in B_{i}$, if $T\left[P[i]+g_{i}(x)\right]$ is not nil then for all $y \in B_{i}$ assign nil to $T\left[P[i]+g_{i}(y)\right]$ and go to Step 3a; else write $x$ into $T\left[P[i]+g_{i}(x)\right]$.

The following claim shows that for $\alpha \geq 2$ we have $\mathbf{E}\left(t_{i}\right)=O\left(b_{i}^{2}\right)$.

Claim: If $\alpha \geq 2$ then $\mathbf{E}\left(\tau_{i}\right) \leq 2$.

Proof. Let $C_{x}$ be the number of collisions of a key $x$ in $B_{i}$ under $g_{i}$; i.e., the number of $y \in B_{i}$, $y \neq x$, for which $g_{i}(x)=g_{i}(y)$. Due to the collision property of universal hash functions (with $N=b_{i}$ and $B=\alpha b_{i}^{2}$ ) we have

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(C_{x}\right)<b_{i} /\left(\alpha b_{i}^{2}\right)=1 / \alpha b_{i} .
$$

By Markov Inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(C_{x} \geq 1\right) \leq \mathbf{E}\left(C_{x}\right)<1 / \alpha b_{i} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by Boole's inequality and Eq (2), the probability that there are any collisions in $B_{i}$ is
$\operatorname{Pr}\left(\exists x \in B_{i}\right.$ such that $\left.C_{x} \geq 1\right) \leq b_{i} \cdot\left(1 / \alpha b_{i}\right)=1 / \alpha$.
For $\alpha \geq 2$, the function $g_{i}$ is injective with probability at least $1-1 / \alpha \geq 1 / 2$, and the expected number of trials, $\tau_{i}$, required before an injective function is found is at most 2.

For $\alpha \geq 2$ we have

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(t_{i}\right)=O\left(\mathbf{E}\left(\tau_{i}\right) b_{i}^{2}\right)=O\left(b_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

The total time, $T_{3}$, for Step 3 over all buckets is then

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(T_{3}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left(t_{i}\right)=O\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}\right)=O(\beta n)
$$

The running time, $T$, of the entire algorithm can now be bounded as

$$
\mathbf{E}(T)=\mathbf{E}\left(T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{3}\right)=\mathbf{E}\left(T_{1}\right)+\mathbf{E}\left(T_{2}\right)+\mathbf{E}\left(T_{3}\right)=O(n) .
$$

## Exercises

1. If $h$ is chosen at random from an almost-universal collection of hash functions and is used to hash $N$ keys into a table of size $B$, the collision probability of any two particular keys $x$ and $y$ is at most $2 / B$, and the expected number of collisions involving a particular key $x$ is at most $2(N-1) / B$.

Modify the algorithm above so that almost-universal functions are used instead of universal functions, and such that the expected running time remains $O(n)$.
2. Modify the algorithm above and analyze it, so that the first level function $f$ maps the input set $S$ into $2 n$ buckets, instead of $n$ buckets.
3. (*) Generalizing (2), modify the algorithm above and analyze it, so that the first level function $f$ maps the input set $S$ into $\gamma n$ buckets, and select $\gamma$ that gives favorable complexity (in terms of constants).
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