An Implementation of a Combinatorial Approximation Algorithm for Minimum-Cost Multicommodity Flows

Jeffrey D. Oldham
Department of Computer Science
Stanford University
oldham@cs.stanford.edu

1998 June 24

Joint work with Andrew Goldberg, Serge Plotkin, and Cliff Stein.

A Multicommodity Flow Example

Specify:

- network
- edge costs and capacities
- peak call demand

Goal: Satisfy peak demand with minimum cost.

Peak Demands

LA–Dallas	35 calls
LA–NYC	80 calls
Dallas–NYC	70 calls



Previous Work

Simplex-Based Linear Programming:

- Kennington 1977
- Castro and Nabona 1996

Polynomial-Time Linear Programming:

- Vaidya 1989
- Kamath and Palmon 1995

Combinatorial Approximations:

- Leighton et al. 1995
- Leong et al. 1993
- Grigoriadis and Khachiyan 1995

Simplex Framework

Size: Problem specification: $O(k+m)$ space
Linear programs: $O(km)$ variables
$O(kn+m)$ inequalities

- n is the number of nodes.
- m is the number of edges.
- k is the number of commodities.

CPLEX solution time:

- experimentally quadratic in k
- experimentally quadratic in network size

Combinatorial Approximation Framework

ϵ-approximation:

- flow uses at most $(1+\epsilon)$ edge capacity
- flow cost at most $(1+\epsilon)$ minimum cost

Main idea:

- reduce to single-commodity problems
- relate commodities using potential function

Theoretical advantage:

- time: $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-3}k)$(time for min-cost flow)
- space: $O(k(n+m))$

Practical advantages:

- trade off time for accuracy

The Potential Function

Problem:

Several objectives:

- minimize total cost
- capacity constraints for every edge

Not smooth!

Solution:

Aggregate into smooth potential function ϕ

$$\phi = \exp\left(\alpha\left(\frac{\text{flow's cost}}{\text{desired cost}}\right)\right) + \sum_{\text{edges } e} \exp\left(\alpha\left(\frac{\text{flow}(e)}{\text{capacity}(e)}\right)\right)$$

$$\text{small } \phi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{good solution}$$

Outline of the Algorithm

Goal: Reduce potential function ϕ.

Main ideas:

- Move in direction $(-\nabla\phi)$.
- Maintain flow satisfying demands.

Until ϵ-optimal solution found:

1. Choose a commodity to improve.
2. Compute $\nabla\phi$.
3. Use $\nabla\phi$ as edge costs.
4. Compute single-commodity minimum-cost flow f^*.
5. Improvement step: $(1-\sigma)f + \sigma f^*$.

Implementing the Algorithm

Direct implementation runs much slower than **CPLEX**.

Problem:

- pessimistic parameters which
  guarantee progress but not practical progress

Solution:

Use theory to yield practical modifications:

- Dynamically adjust the step size σ.
- Dynamically adjust α.
- Compute lower bound to determine when solution is ϵ-optimal.
- Restart MCF routine using previous flow.

Choosing the Step Size σ

Improvement step: $(1-\sigma)f + \sigma f^*$

Theory:

- fixed step size $\sigma = O(\epsilon^{-3})$

Practice:

- Compute σ to minimize potential function.
- Use Newton-Raphson method.
- Newton requires first and second derivatives.

Result: (Sun Enterprise 3000)

instance	ϵ	time (seconds) Newton	theoretical
rmfgen-d-4-12-020	0.01	64	3842
rmfgen-d-7-10-020	0.01	257	15203
multigrid-008-016-0100	0.01	3	95

Choosing α

Constant α occurs in the potential function.

Theory:

- fixed (large) value $\Rightarrow$ guarantee progress
- progress inversely proportional to α

Practice:

- Choose (smaller) value guaranteeing progress.
- Compute occasionally—expensive.

Result: (Sun Enterprise 3000)

instance	ϵ	time (seconds) adaptive	theoretical
rmfgen-d-7-10-020	0.01	56	161
rmfgen-d-7-10-240	0.03	238	738
multigrid-032-128-0080	0.01	42	47

Updating MCF Routine

Theory:

- Use any minimum-cost flow routine.

Practice:

- Costs and capacities do not vary much.
- Simplex MCF can update from feasible flow.
- Use commodity's current flow.

Result: (Sun UltraSPARC-2)

instance	ϵ	time (seconds) updating	time (seconds) no updating
rmfgen-d-7-10-020	0.01	87	180
rmfgen-d-7-10-240	0.01	454	835
multigrid-032-128-0080	0.01	21	37

Small Incremental Flow Change

Theory:

- Flow can change on all arcs.

Practice:

- Flow changes on few arcs.
- Routines for σ use only nonzero differences.

Result: (Sun UltraSPARC-2)

instance	ϵ	time (seconds) use nonzero	use all
rmfgen-d-7-10-020	0.01	87	203
rmfgen-d-7-10-240	0.01	454	972
multigrid-032-128-0080	0.01	21	33

Termination Criteria

Stop algorithm when have ϵ-optimal solution.

Theory:

- small ϕ $\Rightarrow$ ϵ-optimal

Practice:

- Compute a lower bound using LP dual.
- Compute occasionally—k MCF computations.

Comparisons with Linear Programming

MCMCF

ϵ-approximation:

- Flow uses at most $(1 + \epsilon)$ edge capacity
- Flow cost at most $(1 + \epsilon)$ minimum cost

CPLEX

dual simplex:

- exact solutions

primal simplex

- permits stopping to yield ϵ-approximation
- experimentally 10x slower than dual

Comparisons performed on a Sun UltraSparc-2.

Dependence on k



Dependence on k (cont'd)



Dependence on Problem Size



Dependence on the Approximation ϵ

The dependence is approximately $O(\epsilon^{-1.5})$.



Conclusions

theoretical algorithm

- theoretically fast
- practically slower than LP

practical modifications

- guided by theory

resulting advantages

- yield fast, provably correct implementation
- solve large problems
- fast approximations
- trade time for accuracy