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Given \( n \) points in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) split them into \( k \) similar groups.
Clustering Objectives

Let $C(x)$ be the closest cluster center to $x$.

k-Center: $\min_{x \in X} \max \|x - C(x)\|$
Clustering Objectives

Let $C(x)$ be the closest cluster center to $x$.

k-Median: $\min \sum_{x \in X} \|x - C(x)\|$
Clustering Objectives

Let $C(x)$ be the closest cluster center to $x$.

k-Median Squared:  
$$\min \sum_{x \in X} \|x - C(x)\|^2$$

Much more sensitive to outliers
Lloyd’s Method: K-means

Initialize with random clusters
Lloyd’s Method: K-means

Assign each point to nearest center
Lloyd’s Method: K-means

Recompute optimum centers (means)
Lloyd’s Method: K-means

Repeat: Assign points to nearest center
Lloyd’s Method: K-means

Repeat: Recompute centers
Lloyd’s Method: K-means

Repeat...
Lloyd’s Method: K-means

Repeat...Until clustering does not change
Analysis

How good is this algorithm?

Finds a local optimum

That’s arbitrarily worse than optimal solution
Analysis

How fast is this algorithm?

In practice: VERY fast:

e.g. Digit Recognition dataset

with $n = 60,000, d = 700$

Converges after 60 iterations

In theory: Stay Tuned.
Previous Work

Lower Bounds

- $\Omega(n)$ on the line
- $\Omega(n^2)$ in the plane

Upper Bounds:

- $O(n\Delta^2)$ on the line, spread $\Delta = \frac{\max_{x,y} \|x - y\|}{\min_{x,y} \|x - y\|}$
- Exponential bounds: $O(k^n), O(n^{kd})$
Our Results

Lower Bound: \(2^{\Omega(\sqrt{n})}\)

Smoothed Upper Bounds:

\[
O \left( n^{2+2/d} \left( \frac{D}{\sigma} \right)^2 2^{2n/d} \right)
\]

\[
O \left( n^{k+2/d} \left( \frac{D}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right)
\]

\(\sigma\) is the smoothness factor

\(D\) Diameter of the pointset
Rest of the Talk

- Lower Bound Sketch
- Upper Bound Sketch
- Open Problems
Lower Bound

General Idea:

○ Make a “Reset Widget”:

○ If k-Means takes time $t$ on $X$, create a new point set $X'$, s.t. k-Means takes time $2t$ to terminate.
Lower Bound: Sketch

Initial Clustering:

$t$ steps
Lower Bound: Sketch

With Widget

$t$ steps $\rightarrow$ reset $\rightarrow$ $t$ steps
Lower Bound Details

Three Main Ideas:

- Signaling - Recognizing when to start flipping the switch
- Resetting - Setting the cluster centers back to original position
- Odds & Ends - Clean-up to make the process recursive
Suppose that when k-Means terminates, there is one cluster center that has never appeared before. We use this as a signal to start the reset sequence.
Suppose that when k-Means terminates, there is one cluster center that has never appeared before. We use this as a signal to start the reset sequence.
Suppose that when k-Means terminates, there is one cluster center that has never appeared before. We use this as a signal to start the reset sequence.

By setting $\epsilon$ we can control exactly when $p$ will switch.
Suppose that when k-Means terminates, there is one cluster center that has never appeared before. We use this as a signal to start the reset sequence.
Resetting

$k$ properly placed points can reset the positions of the $k$ current centers.

Easy to compute locations of the reset points, so that new cluster centers are placed correctly:

intended center

\[ \bullet \]

current center

\[ \circ \]
Resetting

$k$ properly placed points can reset the positions of the $k$ current centers.

Easy to compute locations of the reset points, so that new cluster centers are placed correctly:

- Intended center
- Current center

Add to cluster to reset mean.
Resetting

$k$ properly placed points can reset the positions of the $k$ current centers.

Easy to compute locations of the reset points, so that new cluster centers are placed correctly:
Resetting

Easy to compute locations of the reset points, so that new cluster centers are placed correctly:

But must avoid accidentally grabbing other points
Resetting

Solution: Add two new dimensions

intended new position

center to reset
Solution: Add two new dimensions

new point added
Resetting

Solution: Add two new dimensions

new point added
Multi-Signaling

So far have shown how to signal and reset a single cluster. Can use one signal to induce a signal from all clusters.
**Multi-Signaling**

All centers are stable before the main signaling has taken place.
Multi-Signaling

All centers are stable before the main signaling has taken place.
Due to signaling the center moves away, now all centers absorb points above.
Multi-Signaling

Due to signaling the center q moves away, now all centers absorb points above. All clusters have previously unseen centers.
Put All Pieces Together

Start with a signaling configuration

Transform it, so that all clusters signal

Use the new signal to reset cluster centers (and therefore double the runtime of k-Means)

Ensure the new configuration is signaling

Repeat...
Construction in Pictures

Construction:

Reflected Points
Construction in Pictures

After $t$ steps - signal by all clusters
Construction in Pictures

Main Clusters absorb “catalyst” points. Yellow centers move away.
The new points added are “reset” points - resetting the original cluster centers.
Can ensure “catalyst” points leave the main clusters
Construction in Pictures

k-Means runs for another $t$ steps. The original centers will be signaling.
Construction Results

If we repeat the resetting widget construction $r$ times:

- $O(r^2)$ points in $O(r)$ dimensions
- $O(r)$ clusters
- Total running time: $2^{\Omega(r)}$
**Construction Remarks**

Currently construction has very large spread

- Can use more trickery to decrease the spread to be constant, albeit with a blow up in the dimension.

As presented requires specific placement of initial cluster centers, in practice centers chosen randomly from points.

- Can make construction work even in this case

Open question:

- Can we decrease the dimensionality to constant $d$?
Outline

- k-Means Intuition
- Lower Bound Sketch
- Upper Bound Sketch
- Open Problems
Smoothed Analysis

Assume each point came from a Gaussian distribution with variance $\sigma^2$.

- Data collection is inherently noisy
- Or add some Gaussian noise (effect on final clustering is minimal)

**Key Fact:** Probability mass inside any ball of radius $\epsilon$ is at most $\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sigma}\right)^d$. 
Potential Function

Use a potential function:

$$\Phi(C) = \sum_{x \in X} \|x - C(x)\|^2$$

Original Potential at most $nD^2$

Potential decreases every step.

- Reassignment reduces $x - C(X)$
- Center recomputation finds optimal $\Phi$ for the given partition
**Potential Decrease**

**Lemma** Let $S$ be a pointset with optimal center $c^*$ and $c$ be any other point then:

$$\Phi(c) - \Phi(c^*) = |S|||c - c^*||^2$$

$$\Phi(c) = \sum_{x \in S} (x - c) \cdot (x - c)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in S} (x - c + c^* - c^*) \cdot (x - c + c^* - c^*)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in S} (x - c^*) \cdot (x - c^*) + (c - c^*) \cdot (c - c^*) + 2(c - c^*) \cdot (x - c^*)$$

$$= \Phi(c^*) + |S|||c - c^*|| + 2(c - c^*) \cdot \sum_{x \in S} (x - c^*)$$
Main Lemma

In a smoothed pointset, fix an $\epsilon > 0$. Then with probability at least $1 - 2^{2n} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sigma}\right)^d$ for any two clusters $S$ and $T$ with optimal centers $c(S)$ and $c(T)$ we have that:

$$\|c(S) - c(T)\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2 \min(|S|, |T|)}$$
Proof Sketch

Suppose $|S| < |T|$ and $x, x \in S, x \notin T$. Fix all points except for $x$.

To ensure $\|c(S) - c(T)\| \leq \epsilon$, $x$ must lie in a ball of diameter $|S|\epsilon$.

Since $x$ came from a Gaussian of variance $\sigma^2$ this probability is at most $(|S|\epsilon\sigma^{-1})^d$.

Finally, union bound the total error probability over all possible pairs of sets - $2^{2n}(\epsilon/\sigma)^d$.
Potential Drop

At each iteration, examine a cluster $S$ whose center changed from $c$ to $c'$:

- $\|c - c'\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{|S|}$

- Therefore, the potential drops by $|S| \frac{\epsilon^2}{|S|^2} \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{4n}$

- After $m = \frac{4n^2 D^2}{\epsilon^2}$ iterations, the algorithm must terminate.
To Finish Up:

Chose $\epsilon = \sigma n^{-\frac{1}{d}} 2^{-\frac{2n}{d}}$. Then the total probability of failure is: $2^{2n}(\epsilon/\sigma)^d = 1/n$.

The total running time is

$$m = \frac{4n^2 D^2}{\epsilon^2} = \Theta \left( n^{2+2/d} \left( \frac{D}{\sigma} \right)^2 2^{2n/d} \right)$$

Remark: polynomial for $d = \Omega \left( \frac{n}{\log n} \right)$
Upper bound (2)

We used the union bound over all possible sets. However, due to the geometry, not all sets arise. The total number of distinct clusters that can appear is $O(n^{kd})$.

Carrying the same calculations through we can bound the total number of iterations as:

$$O \left( n^{k+2/d} \left( \frac{D}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right)$$
Remarks

The noise need not be Gaussian, need to avoid large probabilistic point masses.

- e.g. Lipschitz conditions are enough.
Outline

- k-Means Intuition
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- Upper Bound Sketch
- Open Problems
Showed super-polynomial lower bound on the execution time of k-Means:

- However - construction requires many dimensions, does not preclude an $O(n^d)$ upper bound
**Conclusion - Upper Bounds**

Can use smoothed analysis to reduce the best known upper bounds for k-Means.

- But, is not polynomial for small values of d, or large values of k.
- Even with smoothness there is an $\Omega(n)$ lower bound, which is never observed in practice.
Thank you

Any Questions?