**CS 269I POSTER SESSION - TA SCORING GUIDE**

**[5 points] Poster Clarity**

* [1 points] Poster not clear at all and lacks essential information such as Problem Statement/Data
* [2 points] Poster lacks some pieces of the project (i.e. approach is ambiguous and not enough explanation). This grade is for the poster that are not self-explanatory.
* **[3 points] Contains every essential element of a good poster but has minor issues/typos/layout. It should be pretty self contained and summarizes well the project. (most projects will be this)**
* [4 points] Better than average, but not top poster quality.
* [5 points] Poster is self-explanatory and aesthetically nice. It gives an overview of the project, motivation, challenges, approach and results. Only for conference level posters (top 10%).

**[5 points] Technical Content**

* [1 points] Major flaw in work (used an inappropriate data set, major math error that affected results, etc.) -- TA should point this out to students and mention it in comments; points will be deducted from the final paper in addition to the poster if students do not fix the flaw
* [2 points] Minor flaw in work (e.g. data analysis or math error that didn’t affect all of the conclusions) -- TA should point this out to students and mention it in comments; points will be deducted from the final paper in addition to the poster if students do not fix the flaw
* **[3 points] Project was done in a thoughtful, reasonable way (most projects will be this)**
* [4 points] Project was better than average.
* [5 points] Project is truly outstanding and should be considered for publication. There are new technical research contributions.

**[5 points] Team Knowledge**

* [1 points] Team is unable to answer basic clarification questions about their project (about methods used, data used, modeling assumptions, etc.)
* [2 points] Team is able to answer basic clarification questions
* [3 points] Team gives average responses.
* **[4 points] Team is able to sufficiently answer more complicated questions regarding alternative modeling choices, robustness of conclusions, edge cases/anomalies, etc. (most projects will be this)**
* [5 points] Team successfully answers most, if not all, questions by the TA. The team clearly demonstrates a solid understanding of their project and provides new insights which were not immediately obvious to the TA. Team is able to explain their differences from published work and is aware of state-of-the-art methods.