# CS364B: Exercise Set #5

#### Optional (no due date)

## Lecture 9 Exercises

#### Exercise 28 (Optional – Do Not Hand In)

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a maximal-in-distributional-range (MIDR) allocation rule. Recall that coupling  $\mathbf{x}$  with the payment rule

$$p_i(\mathbf{v}) = \max_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \mathbf{E}_{\omega \in D} \left[ \sum_{k \neq i} v_i(\omega) \right] \mathbf{E}_{\omega \in D^*} \left[ \sum_{k \neq i} v_i(\omega) \right]$$
(1)

yields a DSIC mechanism, assuming that all players act to maximize their expected quasi-linear utility.

The definition in (1) is worrisome from a computational point of view, since expectations over exponentially large sample spaces are not always tractable to compute. Prove that, for the specific mechanism that we described for scenario #8, this payment rule can be computed in polynomial time.

#### Exercise 29 (Optional – Do Not Hand In)

With risk-neutral bidders, we can equally well couple an MIDR allocation rule  $\mathbf{x}$  with a randomized payment rule, provided the expected payment  $\mathbf{E}[p_i(\mathbf{v})]$  equals the right-hand side of (1). Give an implementation of such a payment rule  $\mathbf{p}$  via a reduction to n + 1 invocations of the allocation rule  $\mathbf{x}$ , where n is the number of bidders.

#### Exercise 30 (Optional – Do Not Hand In)

A randomized mechanism is *ex post individually rational (EPIR)* if the utility of a truthtelling bidder is non-negative with probability 1. Are the payment rules in the previous two exercises generally EPIR?

#### Exercise 31 (Optional – Do Not Hand In)

For the specific mechanism that we described for scenario #8, exhibit a randomized polynomial-time payment rule that satisfies (1) in expectation and also yields an EPIR mechanism.

[Hint: use payments that are proportional to valuations.]

## Lecture 10 Exercises

#### Exercise 32 (Optional – Do Not Hand In)

Consider a coverage valuation v on the item set  $U = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ , given explicitly as subsets  $A_1, ..., A_m$  of a ground set X. Recall that, by definition,

$$v(S) = \left| \bigcup_{j \in S} A_j \right|.$$

Prove that answering a demand query — given a price vector  $\mathbf{p}$  on items, compute a subset of  $\operatorname{argmax}_{S \subseteq U} \{v(S) - \sum_{j \in S} p(j)\}$  — is an NP-hard problem.

[Hint: reduce from Set Cover.]

## Exercise 33 (Optional – Do Not Hand In)

In lecture we only worked with *unweighted* coverage functions. More generally, a coverage function is defined by nonnegative weights  $w_a$  on elements a of a ground set X and subsets  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  corresponding to the items of U. The valuation is defined as

$$v(S) = \sum_{a \in \cup_{j \in S} A_{ij}} w_a$$

What changes to the mechanism and its analysis described in lecture are needed to extend the DSIC  $(1 - \frac{1}{e})$ -approximation to weighted coverage functions?