

## Notes on Circuits and Probabilistic Algorithms

### 1 Circuits

**Definition 1** A language  $L$  is solved by a family of circuits  $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n, \dots\}$  if for every  $n \geq 1$  and for every  $x$  such that  $|x| = n$ ,

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow C_n(x) = 1.$$

**Definition 2** For a function  $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and a language  $L$  we say  $L \in \mathbf{SIZE}(S(n))$  if  $L$  is solved by a family  $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n, \dots\}$  of circuits, where  $C_n$  has at most  $S(n)$  gates.

Recall the following two results from Handout 5.

**Lemma 1** For every language  $L$ ,  $L \in \mathbf{SIZE}(O(2^n))$ .

**Lemma 2** If  $L \in \mathbf{TIME}(t(n))$ , then  $L \in \mathbf{SIZE}(O(t^2(n)))$ .

We can express such results in terms of complexity classes in the following way.

**Corollary 3**  $\mathbf{P} \subseteq \mathbf{SIZE}(n^{O(1)})$  and  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \mathbf{SIZE}(O(2^n))$ .

**Lemma 4** If  $n \geq 3$  and  $S \leq \frac{1}{4n} \cdot 2^n$ , then there is a function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  that cannot be computed using a circuit of size  $S$ .

**PROOF:** This is a counting argument. There are  $2^{2^n}$  functions  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , and we will show that the number of circuits of size  $S$  is smaller than  $2^{2^n}$ .

To bound the number of circuits of size  $S$  we create a compact binary encoding of such circuits. Identify gates with numbers  $1, \dots, S$ . For each gate, specify where the two inputs are coming from and the type of gate. The total number of bits required to represent the circuit is

$$S \cdot (2 + 2 \log(n + S)) \leq S \cdot (2 + 2 \log 2S) = S \cdot (4 + 2 \log S).$$

So the number of circuits of size  $S$  is at most  $2^{4S + 2S \log S}$ . We assumed  $S \leq \frac{1}{4n} \cdot 2^n$  and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4S + 2S \log S &\leq \frac{1}{n} 2^n + \frac{1}{2n} \cdot 2^n \cdot (n - \log n - 2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot 2^n + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^n \\ &< 2^n \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that the number of circuits of size  $S$  is strictly smaller than  $2^{2^n}$ , and so some function cannot be computed by any circuit of size  $S$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5** There is some language  $L$  such that  $L \notin \mathbf{SIZE}(\frac{1}{4n} \cdot 2^n)$ .

It is widely believed that  $\mathbf{NP} \not\subseteq \mathbf{SIZE}(n^{O(1)})$ , and proving that this is the case is clearly only more difficult than proving  $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$ . As of now, we don't even know how to prove  $\mathbf{NP} \not\subseteq \mathbf{SIZE}(O(n))$ .

## 2 Probabilistic Algorithms

**Definition 3** A language  $L$  is in **BPP** if there is a polynomial  $p()$  and a polynomial time algorithm  $A(\cdot, \cdot)$  such that for every string  $x$  of length  $L$

- If  $x \in L$  then  $\Pr_{r \in \{0,1\}^{p(n)}}[A(x, r) \text{ accepts}] \geq 2/3$ ;
- If  $x \notin L$  then  $\Pr_{r \in \{0,1\}^{p(n)}}[A(x, r) \text{ rejects}] \geq 2/3$ .

In other words, a decision problem is in **BPP** if there is a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm that on every input gives a wrong output with probability at most  $1/3$ . The choice of the particular constant  $1/3$  is quite arbitrary, and the probability of error can be reduced by using the following trick: run the algorithm  $A()$  several times, using fresh randomness each time. If a majority of the runs accept then accept, otherwise reject. The idea is that if one run of the algorithm has a probability at most  $1/3$  of giving an incorrect answer, then if we run the algorithm  $k$  times we expect to see less than  $k/3$  errors. With high probability, the number of errors will be less than  $k/2$  and so by taking the most frequent answer we solve the problem correctly. To make the last sentence formal, we need the following result from probability theory.

**Lemma 6 (Chernoff Bound)** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  be independent random variables that take only values zero or one and such that for each  $i$  we have  $\Pr[X_i = 1] \leq p$  and let  $\epsilon < 1/2$ . Then

$$\Pr[X_1 + \dots + X_k \geq (p + \epsilon)k] \leq e^{-2\epsilon^2 k}$$

**Lemma 7 (Error Reduction)** If  $L \in \mathbf{BPP}$  then there is a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm  $A'$  for  $L$  whose error probability is at most  $1/2^{n+1}$  for inputs of length  $n$ .

PROOF: Let  $A(\cdot, \cdot)$  be a probabilistic algorithm for  $L$  with error probability at most  $1/3$ , and let  $p(n)$  be the length of the random string used by algorithm  $A(\cdot, \cdot)$  when the first input is of length  $n$ .

The algorithm  $A'()$  is given an input  $x$  of length  $n$  and then random strings  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  where  $k = 13n$  and each  $r_i$  is a string of length  $p(n)$ .

We compute  $A(x, r_1), \dots, A(x, r_k)$ , and if at least  $k/2$  of the computations accept then  $A'$  accepts, otherwise it rejects.

This means that  $A'(x, r_1, \dots, r_k)$  is wrong only if more than  $k/2$  of the computations  $A(x, r_i)$  are wrong. Let us define the random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  so that  $X_i = 1$  if  $A(x, r_i)$  is wrong, and  $X_i = 0$  otherwise. The probability that  $A'(x, r_1, \dots, r_k)$  is wrong can be computed using the Chernoff bound with  $p = 1/3$  and  $\epsilon = 1/6$ .

$$\Pr \left[ \sum_i X_i > \frac{k}{2} \right] \leq e^{-2 \cdot (\frac{1}{6})^2 \cdot k} = e^{-k/18} < 2^{-n-1}$$

if  $n$  is large enough.  $\square$

Note that, more generally, if we have an algorithm whose error probability is  $1/2 - \epsilon(n)$  and we do the above error-reduction procedure with  $k(n) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\epsilon(n))^2} \cdot \ln \frac{1}{\delta(n)}$  then we get an algorithm whose error probability is at most  $\delta(n)$ . The new algorithm has polynomial running time as long as  $1/\epsilon$  is at most polynomial and  $1/\delta$  is at most exponential.

**Theorem 8 (Adleman [Adl78])**  $\mathbf{BPP} \subseteq \mathbf{SIZE}(n^{O(1)})$ .

PROOF: Let  $L$  be a problem in **BPP** and  $A'$  be an algorithm for  $L$  that on every input of length  $n$  the probability of error is at most  $2^{-n-1}$ .

From  $A'$  we can get a family of polynomial size circuits  $C_1, \dots, C_n, \dots$  such that for every input  $x$  of length  $n$  and random string  $r$  the output of  $C_n(x, r)$  is the same as  $A'(x, r)$ . Now the idea is to find a string  $r$  that works correctly for all inputs  $x$ ; we show that such a string exists by showing that a random string has such a property with probability greater than zero.

$$\Pr_r[\exists x \in \{0, 1\}^n. C_n(x, r) \text{ is wrong}] \leq \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} \Pr_r[C_n(x, r) \text{ is wrong}] \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

so that

$$\Pr_r[\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^n. C_n(x, r) \text{ is right}] \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

Let  $r_{\text{good}}$  be a string  $r$  such that  $C_n(x, r)$  is right for every  $x$  of length  $n$ , and define the circuit  $C'_n(x) = C_n(x, r_{\text{good}})$ .

This process defines a family of polynomial size circuits for  $L$ .  $\square$

It is now strongly believed that  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{BPP}$ . The main reason for such belief is the following result [NW94, IW97].

**Theorem 9 (Nisan-Impagliazzo-Wigderson)** *Suppose there is a constant  $\epsilon > 0$  and a language  $L \in \mathbf{TIME}(2^{O(n)})$  such that for every large enough  $n$  there is no circuit of size  $\leq 2^{\epsilon n}$  that solves  $L$  on inputs of length  $n$ . Then  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{BPP}$ .*

Even though the premise of the theorem is strongly believed to be true, we do not even know how to prove that  $\mathbf{TIME}(2^{O(n)}) \not\subseteq \mathbf{SIZE}(O(n))$ .

## References

- [Adl78] Leonard Adleman. Two theorems on random polynomial time. In *Proceedings of the 19th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 75–83, 1978.
- [IW97] R. Impagliazzo and A. Wigderson.  $P = BPP$  unless  $E$  has sub-exponential circuits. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 220–229, 1997.
- [NW94] N. Nisan and A. Wigderson. Hardness vs randomness. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 49:149–167, 1994. Preliminary version in *Proc. of FOCS'88*.