## Solutions to Problem Set 5

1. Let $B=\{(n, m) \mid$ Every $n$ - state machine $M$ either halts in less than $m$ steps on an empty input, or doesn't halt on an empty input $\}$.
(a) Show that $B$ is not decidable.
(b) Show that $B$ is not recognizable.
$[20+10=30$ points $]$ Solution:
(a) We show that if $B$ is decidable, then we can construct a routine for deciding $H A L T_{T M}$ which will be a contradiction. Given an input $\langle M, w\rangle$, we want to decide if $M$ halts on $w$ or not. We first construct a machine $N$, which just ignores its input and simulates $M$ on $w$. Hence, $N$ will halt on the empty input if and only if $M$ halts on $w$.
Let $n$ be the number of states in $N$. We can now test if $N$ halts on the empty input as follows:
```
k = 1
while (true) {
    if (n,k)\inB
        break
    else
        k=k+1
    }
run N on the empty input for k steps
accept if N halts in at most k steps else reject
```

Since the number of $n$-state machines is finite (assuming a fixed alphabet), there must be some maximum $k$ such that all such machines either halt in $k$ steps or run forever. The above algorithm first finds this $k$ and then simply checks if $N$ halts in $k$ steps.
(b) We show that $\bar{B}$ is recognizable. Since $B$ is not decidable, this implies that $B$ cannot be recognizable.
$\bar{B}=\{(n, m) \mid$ some $n$-state machine halts on the empty input after more than $m$ steps $\}$
Since there are only a finite number of machines with $n$ states, we can simulate all of them in parallel on the empty input. If $(n, m) \in \bar{B}$, then at least one of the machines will halt after more than $m$ steps and we will stop and accept.
2. (Sipser 5.9) Let $T=\left\{\langle M\rangle \mid M\right.$ is a TM that accepts $w^{R}$ whenever it accepts $\left.w\right\}$. Show that $T$ is undecidable.
[10 points]
Solution: Let $\mathcal{C}=\left\{\right.$ languages $\left.L \mid w \in L \Leftrightarrow w^{R} \in L\right\}$. Then $L_{\mathcal{C}}=T$. The language $0^{*}$ is in $T=L_{\mathcal{C}}$ since $\left(0^{k}\right)^{R}=0^{k}$. $0^{*}$ is regular, so there must be some machine for it. So $T$ is not empty. Also $\{01\}$ is finite, so there is a machine for it. And $\{01\}$ is not in $T$. So $T$ is not everything. By Rice's theorem, $T$ must be undecidable, since it is not everything or empty.
3. (Sipser problem 6.13.) Consider the theory $\operatorname{Th}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5},+, \times\right)$ defined like the theory $\operatorname{Th}(\mathbb{N},+, \times)$ except that addition and multiplication are perfomed modulo 5 .
We allow variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots$, and

- for every three variables $x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}$, we have that $x_{i}+x_{j}=x_{k}(\bmod 5)$ is an expression with free variables $x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}$ and that $x_{i} \times x_{j}=x_{k}(\bmod 5)$ is also an expression with free variables $x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}$;
- If $E_{1}, E_{2}$ are expressions, having free variables $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ respectively, then $E_{1} \vee E_{2}$ and $E_{1} \wedge E_{2}$ are expressions, having free variables $X_{1} \cup X_{2}$. We also have that $\neg E_{1}$ is an expression, with free variables $X_{1}$.
- If $E$ is an expression with free variables $X$, and $x_{i} \in X$, then $\exists x_{i}$.E and $\forall x_{i}$.E are expressions with free variables $X-\left\{x_{i}\right\}$.
- An expression with no free variables is a statement.

For example, the statement $\forall x \cdot \exists y \cdot(y+y=x(\bmod 5))$ is true (try it), but the statement $\forall x . \exists y .(y \times y=x(\bmod 5))$ is false (consider $x=2)$.
Show that $\operatorname{Th}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5},+, \times\right)$ is decidable.

## [20 points]

Solution: Given a formula $\phi$, first we write $\phi$ as $Q_{1} x_{1} Q_{2} x_{2} \ldots Q_{n} x_{n} \psi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ where the $Q_{i}$ 's are quantifiers and $\psi$ has no quantifiers. Now for $k$ from $n$ down to 0 , we will define something called $I_{k}$ with $k$ many inputs. We will compute the value of $I_{k}$ for each possible input from $\mathbb{Z}_{5}^{k}$. Put

$$
I_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

And for $k>0$, if $Q_{k}=\exists$, put

$$
I_{k-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)=\bigvee_{i=0}^{4} I_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, i\right)
$$

And for $Q_{k}=\forall$, put

$$
I_{k-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)=\bigwedge_{i=0}^{4} I_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, i\right)
$$

So $I_{0}$ will have no inputs and just be true or false. Output $I_{0}$.

To prove that this works, just see by induction that

$$
\phi \Leftrightarrow Q_{1} Q_{2} \ldots Q_{k} I_{k}
$$

This is automatic for $k=n$ since $\psi=I_{n}$. And the inductive step works because we are just checking all cases. For $k=0$ this gives us

$$
\phi \Leftrightarrow I_{0}
$$

which is what we output.
So we can decide the theory of $\operatorname{Th}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5},+, \times\right)$.

