## Notes for Lecture 11

In the previous lecture, we claimed it is possible to "combine" a $d$-regular graph on $D$ vertices and a $D$-regular graph on $n$ vertices to obtain a $d^{2}$-regular graph on $n D$ vertices which is a good expander if the two starting graphs are. Let the two starting graphs be denoted by $H$ and $G$ respectively. Then, the resulting graph, called the zig-zag product of the two graphs is denoted by $G \otimes H$.
Using $\lambda(G)$ to denote the eigenvalue with the second-largest absolute value for a graph $G$, we claimed that if $\lambda(H) \leq \beta d$ and $\lambda(G) \leq \alpha D$, then $\lambda\left(G(Z) \leq\left(\alpha+\beta+\beta^{2}\right) d^{2}\right.$. In this lecture we shall describe the construction for the zig-zag product and prove this claim.

## 1 Replacement product of two graphs

We first describe a simpler product for a "small" $d$-regular graph on $D$ vertices (denoted by $H$ ) and a "large" $D$-regular graph on $n$ vertices (denoted by $G$ ). Assume that for each vertex of $G$, there is some ordering on its $D$ neighbors. Then we construct the replacement product (Figure 1) $G \subset(H$ as follows:

- Replace each vertex of $G$ with a copy of $H$ (henceforth called a cloud). For $i \in V(G), j \in$ $V(H)$, let $v_{i j}$ denote the $j^{\text {th }}$ vertex in the $i^{\text {th }}$ cloud.
- Let $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \in E(G)$ be such that $i_{2}$ is the $j_{1}^{t h}$ neighbor of $i_{1}$ and $i_{1}$ is the $j_{2}^{\text {th }}$ neighbor of $i_{2}$. Then $\left(v_{i_{1} j_{1}}, v_{i_{2} j_{2}}\right) \in E(G \odot H)$. Also, if $\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \in E(H)$, then $\forall i \in V(G)\left(v_{i j_{1}}, v_{i j_{2}}\right) \in E(G \odot H)$.

Note that the replacement product constructed as above has $n D$ vertices and is $(d+1)$-regular.

## 2 Zig-zag product of two graphs

Given two graphs $G$ and $H$ as above, the zig-zag product $G \circledast H$ is constructed as follows (Figure $2)$ :

- The vertex set $V(G ® H)$ is the same as in the case of the replacement product.
- $\left(v_{i_{1} j_{1}}, v_{i_{2} j_{2}}\right) \in E\left(G(Z H)\right.$ if there exist $j_{3}$ and $j_{4}$ such that $\left(v_{i_{1} j_{1}}, v_{i_{1} j_{3}}\right),\left(v_{i_{1} j_{3}}, v_{i_{2} j_{4}}\right)$ and $\left(v_{i_{2} j_{4}}, v_{i_{2} j_{2}}\right)$ are in $E(G \odot H)$ i.e. $v_{i_{2} j_{2}}$ can be reached from $v_{i_{1} j_{1}}$ by taking a step in the first cloud, then a step between the clouds and then a step in the second cloud (hence the name!).
It is easy to see that the zig-zag product is a $d^{2}$-regular graph on $n D$ vertices. Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{([n] \times[D]) \times([n] \times[D])}$ be the adjacency matrix of $G(2) H$. Using the fact that each edge in $G ® H$ is made up of three steps in $G \subset(C$, we can write $M$ as $B A B$, where

$$
B\left[v_{i_{1} j_{1}}, v_{i_{2} j_{2}}\right]= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i_{1} \neq i_{2} \\ \# \text { edges between } j_{1} \text { and } j_{2} \text { in } H & \text { if } i_{1}=i_{2}\end{cases}
$$



Figure 1: The replacement product of G and H (not all edges shown)

$$
A\left[v_{i_{1} j_{1}}, v_{i_{2} j_{2}}\right]= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i_{2} \text { is the } j_{1}^{\text {th }} \text { neighbor of } i_{1} \text { and } i_{1} \text { is the } j_{2}^{\text {th }} \text { neighbor of } i_{2} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Here $B$ is the adjacency matrix of the replacement product after deleting all the edges between clouds and $A$ is the adjacency matrix containing only the edges between clouds. Note that $A$ is the adjacency matrix for a matching and is hence a permutation matrix.

## 3 Eigenvalues of the zig-zag graph

Let 1 denote the vector which is 1 in all coordinates and let $\lambda(G)$ denote the eigenvalue with the second-largest absolute value for the graph $G$ with adjacency matrix $M$. We prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1 If $G$ is a $D$-regular graph on $n$ vertices and $H$ is a d-regular graph on $D$ vertices such that $\lambda(G) \leq \alpha D$ and $\lambda(H) \leq \beta d$, then $\lambda\left(G(2 H) \leq\left(\alpha+\beta+\beta^{2}\right) d^{2}\right.$

We know that

$$
\lambda(G)=\max _{x \perp \mathbf{1},\|x\|=1}\left|x M x^{T}\right|
$$



Figure 2: The zig-zag product of G and H and the underlying replacement product (not all edges shown)

Thus, it suffices to obtain a bound on the above expression for $G \circledast H$ when $G$ and $H$ are good expanders. To provide an intuition for the proof consider two extreme cases for a cut in $G(2 H$. If the cut mostly includes or excludes entire clouds, then it can be viewed as a cut in $G$ the number of edges crossing it are almost the same as for the corresponding cut in $G$. If the cut splits almost all clouds in two parts, then one may think of it as $n$ cuts in $n$ copies of $H$. In both these cases then the number of edges crossing the cut will be "large" due the good expansion of $G$ and $H$ respectively. The following proof essentially breaks any vector $x$ into the algebraic analogs of these two extremes.
Proof: Given any vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n D}, x \perp \mathbf{1}$, one can write it as $x=x_{\|}+x_{\perp}$ where $x_{\|}$is constant on each cloud and $x_{\perp}$, restricted to any cloud is perpendicular to $\mathbf{1}^{D}$ (the all 1 's vector in D dimensions). In particular

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{\|}\left(v_{i j}\right) & =\frac{1}{D} \sum_{k} x\left(v_{i k}\right) \\
x_{\perp}\left(v_{i j}\right) & =x\left(v_{i j}\right)-x_{\|}\left(v_{i j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x M x^{T}\right|=\left|x B A B x^{T}\right| & =\left|\left(x_{\|}+x_{\perp}\right) B A B\left(x_{\|}+x_{\perp}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left|x_{\|} B A B x_{\|}^{T}\right|+2\left|x_{\|} B A B x_{\perp}^{T}\right|+\left|x_{\perp} B A B x_{\perp}^{T}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

We now analyze each of these terms separately.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left|x_{\perp} B A B x_{\perp}^{T}\right| & =\left|x_{\perp} B A\left(x_{\perp} B\right)^{T}\right| & & \\
& \leq\left\|x_{\perp} B A\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{\perp} B\right\| & & \text { (by Cauchy }- \text { Schwarz) } \\
& =\left\|x_{\perp} B\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{\perp} B\right\| & \text { (since A is a permutation matrix) } \\
& \leq \beta d\left\|x_{\perp}\right\| \cdot \beta d\left\|x_{\perp}\right\| & \\
\Rightarrow\left|x_{\perp} B A B x_{\perp}^{T}\right| & \leq \beta^{2} d^{2}\left\|x_{\perp}\right\|^{2} & & \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

In the above $\left\|x_{\perp} B\right\| \leq \beta d\|x\|$ follows from the fact that the restriction of $x_{\perp}$ to any cloud is perpendicular to $\mathbf{1}^{D}$ and that $B$ is a block-diagonal matrix whose action on the restriction is the same as that of the adjacency matrix of $H$. For the mixed term,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|x_{\perp} B A B x_{\|}^{T}\right| & =\left|x_{\perp} B A\left(x_{\|} B\right)^{T}\right| \\
& =2 d\left|x_{\perp} B A x_{\|}^{T}\right| \quad \quad\left(\because x_{\|} \text {is parallel to } \mathbf{1}^{D} \text { in each cloud }\right) \\
& \leq\left\|x_{\perp} B\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{\|}\right\| \\
& \leq 2 d \cdot \beta d\left\|x_{\perp}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{\perp}\right\| \\
& \leq d^{2} \beta\left(\left\|x_{\perp}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{\perp}\right\|^{2}\right) \quad(b y \text { Cauchy }- \text { Schwarz }) \\
\Rightarrow\left|x_{\perp} B A B x_{\|}^{T}\right| & \leq \beta d^{2}\left(\left\|x_{\|}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{\perp}\right\|^{2}\right)=\beta d^{2}\|x\|^{2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the vector defined as $y(i)=\frac{1}{D} \sum_{j} x\left(v_{i j}\right)$ and let $C$ be the adjacency matrix for $G$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|x_{\|} B A B x_{\|}^{T}\right| & =d^{2}\left|x_{\|} A x_{\|}^{T}\right| \\
& =d^{2}\left|\sum_{i_{1}, j_{1}, i_{2}, j_{2}} x_{\|}\left(v_{i_{1} j_{1}}\right) A\left(v_{i_{1} j_{1}}, v_{i_{2} j_{2}}\right) x_{\|}\left(v_{i_{1} j_{1}}\right)\right| \\
& =d^{2}\left|\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}} y\left(i_{1}\right) y\left(i_{2}\right) C\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)\right| \\
& =d^{2}\left|y C y^{T}\right| \\
& \leq d^{2}\|y C\| \cdot\|y\| \\
& \left.\leq d^{2} \alpha D\|y\|^{2}=d^{2} \alpha\left\|x_{\|}\right\|^{2} \quad \text { (by Cauchy }- \text { Schwar }\right) \\
\Rightarrow\left|x_{\|} B A B x_{\|}^{T}\right| & \leq d^{2} \alpha\left\|x_{\|}\right\|^{2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\|y C\| \leq \alpha D\|y\|$ follows from the bound on $\lambda(G)$ and the fact that $y \cdot \mathbf{1}=\sum_{i} y(i)=$ $\frac{1}{D} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} x\left(v_{i j}\right)=0$. Using equations (1), (2) and (3) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x B A B x^{T}\right| & \leq \alpha d^{2}\left\|x_{\|}\right\|^{2}+\beta^{2} d^{2}\left\|x_{\perp}\right\|+\beta d^{2}\|x\|^{2} \\
\Rightarrow\left|x B A B x^{T}\right| & \leq d^{2}\left(\alpha+\beta+\beta^{2}\right)\|x\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the previous characterization of eigenvalues, we have

$$
\lambda(G ® H)=\max _{x \perp \mathbf{1},\|x\|=1}\left|x B A B x^{T}\right| \leq d^{2}\left(\alpha+\beta+\beta^{2}\right)
$$

